UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,712	07/20/2006	Masahiro Yasumi	MAT-8867US	8699
52473 RATNERPRES	7590 04/15/200 TTIA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 980			ROSENAU, DEREK JOHN	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/586,712	YASUMI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Derek J. Rosenau	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-9 is/are withdrawn f 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or ele Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2006 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	ection requirement. r. □ accepted or b)⊠ objected to b				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/20/06 1/27/09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-4, drawn to an angular velocity sensor, classified in class 310, subclass 370.
- II. Claims 5-9, drawn to a method of manufacturing an angular velocity sensor, classified in class 29, subclass 25.35.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the apparatus of group I can be made by methods that do not require the details of group II, such as those that do not require oxidizing, sputtering, or deposition.
- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

Art Unit: 2834

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,712

Art Unit: 2834

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. During a telephone conversation with Lawrence Ashery on 7 April 2009 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-4. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 5-9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 101. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are

Art Unit: 2834

required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

7. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears that "slead" is intended to be "lead". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujii et al. (WO 2003/052840) in view of Watanabe et al. (US 6153898) and Yao et al. (US 2007/0164634).
- 10. With respect to claim 1, Fujii et al. discloses an angular velocity sensor (Fig 15) comprising: a substrate (item 500) made of single crystal silicon (Paragraph 217) and having a tuning fork shape (Fig 15), the substrate including a plurality of arms extending

Art Unit: 2834

parallel with each other (Fig 15), and a joint section for connecting respecting ends of the arms with each other (Fig 15); a first adhesion layer (Fig 1, item 12) provided on the substrate (Fig 1), the first adhesion layer containing titanium (Paragraph 71); a first electrode layer (item 503) provided on the first adhesion layer (Fig 16), the first electrode containing at least one of titanium and titanium oxide (Paragraph 19); an orientation control layer (item 504) provided on the first electrode layer (Fig 16) a piezoelectric layer (item 505) provided on the orientation control layer (Fig 16); and a second electrode layer (item 506) provided on the piezoelectric layer (Fig 16).

Fujii et al. does not disclose expressly a barrier layer provided on each of the plurality of arms of the substrate, the barrier layer containing silicon oxide; the first adhesion layer being provided on the barrier layer, or a second adhesion layer provided on the piezoelectric layer with the second electrode being formed on the second adhesion layer.

Watanabe et al. teaches a piezoelectric device including a barrier layer (item 12) provided on the substrate (Fig 1), the barrier layer containing silicon oxide (column 3, lines 51-60); the adhesion layer (item 13) being formed on the barrier layer (Fig 1).

Yao et al. teaches a piezoelectric device in which an adhesion layer is provided between the piezoelectric layer and the top electrode layer (Paragraph 56); therefore, Yao et al. discloses a second adhesion layer provided on a piezoelectric layer and a second electrode provided on the second adhesion layer.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the barrier layer of Watanabe et al. and the second adhesion layer

of Yao et al. with the angular velocity sensor of Fujii et al. for the benefits of preventing diffusion (column 3, lines 51-60 of Watanabe et al.) and to improve the bond between the piezoelectric layer and the top electrode (Paragraph 56 of Yao et al.).

Page 7

- 11. With respect to claim 2, the combination of Fujii et al., Watanabe et al., and Yao et al. discloses the angular velocity sensor of claim 1. Fujii et al. discloses that the orientation control layer comprises dielectric oxide material containing Pb and Ti (Paragraph 73)
- 12. With respect to claim 3, the combination of Fujii et al., Watanabe et al., and Yao et al. discloses the angular velocity sensor of claim 1. Fujii et al. discloses that the orientation control layer comprises lead titanate containing at least one of La and Mg (Paragraph 73).
- 13. With respect to claim 4, the combination of Fujii et al., Watanabe et al., and Yao et al. discloses the angular velocity sensor of claim 1. Fujii et al. discloses that the piezoelectric layer comprises lead zirconate titanate (Paragraph 230).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek J. Rosenau whose telephone number is (571) 272-8932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Quyen Leung can be reached on (571) 272-8188. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas M. Dougherty/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2834 Derek J Rosenau Examiner Art Unit 2834

/D. J. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 2834